

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No.: 2:18-cr-0176-APG-NJK

4 Plaintiff

**Order of Restitution**

5 v.

6 STEVEN EDDY DUNHAM,

7 Defendant

8 During the sentencing hearing, the Government presented only one Victim Impact  
9 Statement, from the victim of the "Tara Series" of child pornography videos. But neither the  
10 Plea Agreement nor the Presentence Investigation Report offers any evidence that defendant  
11 Steven Dunham possessed images from the Tara Series. Thus, I have no factual basis upon  
12 which to determine "the relative causal significance of the defendant's conduct in producing [the  
13 victim's] losses." *Paroline v. United States*, 572 U.S. 434, 460 (2014). *See also, United States v*  
14 *Galan*, 804 F.3d 1287, 1291 (9th Cir. 2015) (in calculating restitution in cases involving  
15 possession of child pornography, the victim's losses caused by the defendant's actions must be  
16 disaggregated from the losses caused by the original abuse).

17 I THEREFORE ORDER that defendant Steven Dunham is not required to pay restitution  
18 in this case.

19 DATED this 13th day of December, 2019.

20  
21   
22 ANDREW P. GORDON  
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE